#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 430 802 SE 062 587

AUTHOR Wang, Jianjun; Staver, John

TITLE An Examination of Science Items in TIMSS.

PUB DATE 1999-03-00

NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National

Association for Research in Science Teaching (72nd, Boston,

MA, March 28-31, 1999).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Achievement Rating; Elementary Secondary Education; Foreign

Countries; \*Item Analysis; \*Science Tests; Test

Construction; \*Test Items

IDENTIFIERS \*Third International Mathematics and Science Study

#### ABSTRACT

Development of the test instrument in the Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) was based on the expertise of many researchers, including "distinguished scholars from 10 countries" who participated on the TIMSS Subject Matter Advisory Committee. However, a close examination of the TIMSS Science items suggests that not all the items measure student science achievement. Thus, cautions are raised from this study to urge researchers and policymakers to take a more mindful stance against potential misinterpretation of TIMSS scores in international comparisons. (Author/WRM)



In our judgment, this document is also of interest to the Clear-inghouses noted to the right. Indexing should reflect their special points of view.

1

TIMSS Item Examination

Running head: TIMSS Item Examination

## An Examination of Science Items in TIMSS

Jianjun Wang Department of Teacher Education School of Education California State University 9001 Stockdale Highway Bakersfield, CA 93311-1099

John Staver Center for Science Education College of Education Kansas State University Manhattan, KS 66056

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement **EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION** CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Paper presented at the 1999 annual meeting of the National Association of Research in Science Teaching (NARST), March 28-31, Boston, MA.

#### **An Examination of Science Items in TIMSS**

#### **Abstract**

Development of test instrument in the <u>Third International Mathematics and Science Study</u> (TIMSS) was based on expertise of many researchers, including "distinguished scholars from 10 countries" in its <u>Subject Matter Advisory Committee</u> (SMAC). However, a close examination of the TIMSS science items suggests that not all the items measure student science achievement. Thus, cautions are raised from this study to urge researchers and policymakers taking more mindful stance against potential misinterpretation of TIMSS scores in the international comparison.



#### An Examination of Science Items in TIMSS

The Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) is a collaborative research project sponsored by the International Association for Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA). The existing debate on TIMSS findings was mainly focused on various confounding variables, such as variations among the international curricula, influences of cultural traditions, and differences in school enrollments (Stedman, 1997a, b; Bracey, 1997). In contrast, few researchers have closely examined the academic contents of the TIMSS instrument. In part, this was because the academic tests were endorsed by the TIMSS Subject Matter Advisory Committee (SMAC), "including distinguished scholars from 10 countries" (Beaton, Mullis, Martin, Gonzalez, Kelly, & Smith, 1996, p. A-5). As a result, Peak (1996) noted that "TIMSS is a fair and accurate comparison of mathematics and science achievement in participating nations" (p. 14). On the other hand, Gerald W. Bracey (1998), an outspoken researcher against the TIMSS horse race, also admitted that "The published sample items strike me as quite reasonable things to teach students" (p. 686).

To date, two thirds of the TIMSS items were disseminated on a web page (http://www.csteep.bc.edu/timss1/database.html). Discussions of the item problems must be confined among those items released to the public. To maintain academic integrity and honesty, some of the released TIMSS items have been cross-examined in this article to underscore five potential problems within the TIMSS measurement. Since the TIMSS results have drawn dramatic attention in the United States (http://whitehouse.dm.net/library/1998/10836.TXT), the item examination may inform policymakers and the American public to take a more mindful stance on some of the TIMSS outcomes.



Efforts on the instrument development were documented in a TIMSS Technical Report (Martin & Kelly, 1996). In the past, international comparisons were based on results from multiple choice tests (Rotberg, 1995). In TIMSS, about one-third of students' response time was devoted to free-response questions. Despite the format improvement, the TIMSS instrument illustrated the following problems in the areas of science and mathematics:

## . Not all free-response scores reflect student science achievement

According to the TIMSS Technical Report (Martin & Kelly, 1996), specific rubrics were developed for each free-response item using a two-digit coding system. The grading rubrics, however, contained scientific problems. For instance, a TIMSS question reads,

The water level in a small aquarium reaches up to a mark A. After a large ice cube is dropped into the water, the cube floats and the water level raises to a new mark B. What will happen to the water level as the ice melts? Explain your reasoning. (Item # G11, http://www.csteep.bc.edu/timss1/Items.html)

This item was included in the 12th grade physics test. Without information about the experimental temperature, the melting process could take a number of hours, which might introduce significant impact of evaporation along with the liquidation process. Ignoring the effect of evaporation, students could reach an answer of "same level". On the other hand, considering the missing matter through evaporation, students could develop an answer of "lower level". To a certain extent, the second answer may come from more considerate students. According to the TIMSS rubrics, the second answer was one of the incorrect responses, and thus, earned zero credit!

#### . Not all the mistakes were isolated

It is understandable that isolated mistakes may happen in a large scale study like TIMSS.



However, the TIMSS instrument indicated that similar mistakes repeated across different surveys. For instance, the aforementioned problem was re-appeared in the TIMSS instrument at other grade levels. In its science test for the 7th and 8th graders, an item reads,

A glass of water with ice cubes in it has a mass of 300 grams. What will the mass be immediately after the ice has melted? Explain your answer. (Lie et al., 1996, p. 7-11)

This item was even listed in the TIMSS Technical Report as an exemplary item (Martin & Kelly, 1996). Still, the coding guide only award credit to an answer of 300 grams, and thus, punished those students who have thoughtfully considered the missing matter through evaporation during the melting process (Lie et al., 1996, p. 7-11). Unfortunately, this kind of problems was not discussed in the TIMSS quality control document (Mullis & Smith, 1996)).

## . Not all science items have only one correct choice

If the above example illustrated that the TIMSS grading missed a correct answer, some TIMSS items listed more than one correct choice. The following item was quoted from the TIMSS science test at the 3rd and 4th grade levels:

Seeds develop from which part of a plant?

A. Flower B. Leaf C. Root D. Stem (Item # P9, http://www.steep.bc.edu/timss1/Items.html)

The content of seed and plant was covered in many widely-used elementary science textbooks (e.g., Mallinson, Mallinson, Smallwood, & Valentino, 1984). A total of two groups of seed plants were introduced at the basic level. Specifically, according to Mallinson, Mallinson, Smallwood, and Valentino (1984), "One of these groups is made up of seed plants that have cones. ... The second group of seed plants is made up of seed plants that have flowers" (p. 29). Thus, even at the beginning stage of a science course, students knew that choice A,



Flower, was not the only answer. Cones, as another kind of seeds, were developed from the stem part of the plant. Therefore, good students had to struggle between choices A and D to match a single answer in the rubrics! In this circumstance, the bad question may have indeed confused a good student.

## . Not all TIMSS scores are grounded on student levels of cognitive development

In the TIMSS science test, one question at the 3rd and 4th grade levels states:

The Sun is bigger than the Moon, but they appear to be about the same size when you look at them from the Earth. Why is this? (Item # Y1, http://www.csteep.bc.edu/timss1/Items.html)

The answer hinges on a notion that the Sun is farther away than the Moon. However, according to the TIMSS code, if a student "Refers to the sun being higher up than the moon", he or she will receive a zero score. To describe the distance difference in the sky, the two words, higher and farther, could be used interchangeably by most 3rd and 4th graders. This characteristic is typically for students at the concrete operation level of cognitive development (Piaget, Chomsky, & Piatelli-Palmarini, 1980). Unfortunately, the TIMSS grading system failed to consider the level of student cognitive development.

# . Not all TIMSS items are developed through proper collaborations between mathematics and science educators

TIMSS is the only IEA project which assessed mathematics and science achievement concurrently. TIMSS items also covered mathematics applications in the field of science. However, not all the items are free of misconceptions. The problem is quite obvious in a mathematics item at the 7th and 8th grades. The item reads,

A chemist mixes 3.75 milliliters of solution A with 5.625 milliliters of solution B to form a new solution. How many milliliters does this new solution contain?



(Item # K2, http://wwwcsteep.bc.edu/timss1/Items.html)

The answer from the TIMSS code was 9.375. Apparently, the item writer assumes that when the two solutions are mixed, the volume will be additive. The additive assumption, nonetheless, is not true in many cases, particularly when the answer carries many significant figures after the decimal point. For instance, if one solution was mainly made by alcohol and the other by water, then the volume should be less than 9.375! Thus, this item is grounded on assumption which could be undermined by counter-examples in science.

In summary, at a cost to U.S. taxpayers of \$51 million, TIMSS findings have drawn great public interest. While many researchers participated in discussions of TIMSS results, few questioned the TIMSS' measure of science and mathematics achievement. With all due respect to the distinguished scholars of the TIMSS Subject Matter Advisory Committee, the content examination indicated that not all TIMSS items truly reflected student achievement in science. Since the TIMSS data have been widely disseminated in the public, researchers and policymakers should analyze TIMSS items carefully, and avoid potential misinterpretation of TIMSS scores in international comparisons.



#### References

Baker, D. P. (1997). Surviving TIMSS. Phi Delta Kappan. 79 (4), 295-300.

Beaton, A., Mullis, I., Martin, M., Gonzalez, E., Kelly, D. & Smith, T. (1996).

Mathematics achievement in the middle school years. Chestnut Hill, MA: Boston College.

Bracey, G. (1997). On comparing the incomparable: A response to Baker and

Stedman. Educational Researcher, 26 (3), 19-26.

Bracey, G. (1998). TIMSS, rhymes with 'dims,' as in 'witted'. Phi Delta Kappan, 79 (9), 686-687.

Lie, S., Taylor, A. & Harmon, A. (1996). Scoring techniques and criteria. In M.

Martin & D. Kelly (Eds.), <u>Third international mathematics and science study: Technical report</u>. Chestnut Hill, MA: Boston College.

Mallinson, G., Mallinson, J., Smallwood, W., & Valentino, C. (1984). Science. San Carlos, CA: Silver Burdett.

Martin, M., & Kelly, D. (1996). <u>Third international mathematics and science study:</u>

<u>Technical report.</u> Chestnut Hill, MA: Boston College.

Mullis, I., & Smith, T. (1996). Quality control steps for free-response scoring. In M.

Martin & I. Mullis (Eds.), <u>Third international mathematics and science study: Quality assurance in data collection</u>. Chestnut Hill, MA: Boston College.

Peak, L. (1996). <u>Pursuing excellence: A study of U.S. eighth-grade mathematics and science teaching, learning, curriculum, and achievement in international context</u> (NCES No. 97-198). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Peak, L. (1997). Pursuing excellence: A study of U.S. fourth-grade mathematics and



9

science achievement in international context (NCES No. 97-255). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Piaget, J., Chomsky, N., & Piatelli-Palmarini, M. (1980). <u>Language and learning: the</u>

<u>debate between Jean Piaget and Noam Chomsky</u>. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press,

Rotberg, I. (1995). Myths about test score comparisons. <u>Science</u>, 270, 1446-1448.

Stedman, L. (1997a). International achievement differences: An assessment of a new

perspective. <u>Educational Researcher</u>, 26 (3), 4-15.

Stedman, L. (1997b). Deep achievement problems: The case for reform still stands. Educational Researcher, 26 (3), 27-29.

Takahira, S., Gonzales, P., Frase, M., & Salganik, L. H. (1997). <u>Pursuing excellence:</u>

<u>A study of U.S. twelfth-grade mathematics and science achievement in international context</u>

(NCES No. 98-049). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Wang, J. (in press). International achievement comparison: Interesting debates on inconclusive findings. <u>School Science and Mathematics</u>.





## U.S. Department of Education Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



## **Reproduction Release**

(Specific Document)

### I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: An	Examination of	Science Items	in TIMSS		
Author(s):	Jianjun Wang;	John R. Staver			
Corporate Source: Teaching (MRST) Publication Date: 1999 annual meeting of the Naturnal Association for Research in Solence March 30, 1999					

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to Level 2B documents			
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)			
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B			
† X	<b>†</b>	<b>†</b>			
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only				
Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.  If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.					



I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Infordisseminate this document as indicated above. Repother than ERIC employees and its system contract for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other	production from the ERIC microfich tors requires permission from the c	ie, or electronic media by persons opyright holder. Exception is made				
discrete inquiries.						
Signature:	Printed Name/Position/Title:  J'anjun Wang Associate Professor					
Organization/Address:	Telephone:	T				
Collifornia State University, Bakerofield	(661) 664-3048	Date: 4/26/1999				
Collifornia State University, Bakerofield Souj Stockdola Huy Bakarafield, CA 98311-1099	E-mail Address: jwang @ csubok, edu	Date: 4/26/1999				
III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY IN  If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, of source, please provide the following information redocument unless it is publicly available, and a dependent	or, if you wish ERIC to cite the ava	ilability of the document from another ment. (ERIC will not announce a				
ERIC selection criteria are significantly more string						
Publisher/Distributor:						
Address:						
Price:						
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYR						
If the right to grant this reproduction release is held name and address:	l by someone other than the address	ee, please provide the appropriate				
Name:						
Address:						
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:	<u> </u>					
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghou	ase:					
However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if madocument being contributed) to:		ERIC, return this form (and the				
110	ocessing and Reference Facility 00 West Street, 2nd Floor urel, Maryland 20707-3598					
Telephone: 301-497-4080						

ERIC

Full Text Provided by EBIC

2 of 3